

QUANTUM CURRENT OPERATORS (III) COMMUTATIVE QUANTUM CURRENT OPERATORS, SEMI-INFINITE CONSTRUCTION AND FUNCTIONAL MODELS

JINTAI DING AND BORIS FEIGIN

ABSTRACT. We construct a commutative current operator $\bar{x}^+(z)$ inside $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$. With this operator and the condition of quantum integrability on the quantum current of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$, we derive the quantization of the semi-infinite construction of integrable modules of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$ with the current operator $e(z)$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$. The quantization of the functional models for $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$ are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION.

For any integrable highest weight module of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$ of level k , the current operators $e(z)$ and $f(z)$ satisfy the following relations:

$$e(z)^{k+1} = f(z)^{k+1} = 0,$$

which we call the condition of integrability [LP]. For the case of quantum affine algebras, Drinfeld presented a formulation of affine quantum groups with generators in the form of current operators [Dr2], which, for the case of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$, give us the quantized current operators corresponding to $e(z)$ and $f(z)$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$. In [DM], we derive the quantum integrable condition for $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$. On any level k integrable module of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ the matrix coefficients of $x^+(z_1)x^+(z_2)\dots x^+(z_{k+1})$ zero at $z_2/z_1 = z_3/z_2 = \dots = z_{k+1}/z_k = q^2$, and those of $x^-(z_1)x^-(z_2)\dots x^-(z_{k+1})$ are zero at $z_1/z_2 = z_2/z_3 = \dots = z_k/z_{k+1} = q^2$, where $x^+(z)$ and $x^-(z)$ are the quantized current operators of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ corresponding to $e(z)$ and $f(z)$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$, respectively.

In the case of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$, the integrable condition was used by Feigin and Stoyanovsky [FS] to construct a level k module as a semi-infinite and describe the function models for the dual spaces. With the condition of the quantum integrability, still we can not simply derive the quantization of the semi-infinite construction, because of the noncommutativity of the current operator $x^+(z)$. Thus we have to modify the current operator $x^+(z)$ to “force” it to commute with itself. We use the subalgebra

coming from the Heisenberg algebra of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ to construct a commutative current operator $\bar{x}^+(z) = \sum \bar{x}_i z^{-i}$, which commutes with itself and

$$\bar{x}^+(z_1)\bar{x}^+(z_1q^2)\dots\bar{x}^+(z_{k+1}q^{2k}) = 0.$$

Then the quantization of the semi-infinite construction, simply follows, namely the integrable modules of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ can be identified with the space consisting of semi-infinite expressions $\bar{x}_{i_1}^+ \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^+ \dots$, whose tails stabilize in certain way and the action of $\bar{x}_i^+$ acts by multiplication. Due to the introduction of the parameter q , we can describe the action of the operators rigorously, especially the action of the operator a_{-1} , which corresponds to the operator h_{-1} of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. As in the case of [FS], the functional models for the dual spaces of the subspace generated by $\bar{x}^+(z)$ on the highest weight vector in any irreducible integrable module of level k are derived by using symmetric functions $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, which is zero when $t_1 = t_2 q^2 \dots = t_{k+1} q^{2k}$.

2. $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$ AND COMMUTATIVE QUANTUM CURRENT OPERATORS

For the case of affine quantum groups, Drinfeld gave a realization of those algebras in terms of operators in the form of current [Dr2]. We will first present such a realization for the case of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be the Cartan matrix of type A_{n-1} .

Definition 1. The algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is an associative algebra with unit 1 and the generators: $\varphi_i(-m), \psi_i(m)$, $x_i^\pm(l)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and a central element c . Let z be a formal variable and $x_i^\pm(z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} x_i^\pm(l) z^{-l}$, $\varphi_i(z) = \sum_{m \in -\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \varphi_i(m) z^{-m}$ and $\psi_i(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \psi_i(m) z^{-m}$. In terms of the formal variables,

the defining relations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i(z)\varphi_j(w) &= \varphi_j(w)\varphi_i(z), \\
\psi_i(z)\psi_j(w) &= \psi_j(w)\psi_i(z), \\
\varphi_i(z)\psi_j(w)\varphi_i(z)^{-1}\psi_j(w)^{-1} &= \frac{g_{ij}(z/wq^{-c})}{g_{ij}(z/wq^c)}, \\
\varphi_i(z)x_j^\pm(w)\varphi_i(z)^{-1} &= g_{ij}(z/wq^{\mp\frac{1}{2}c})^{\pm 1}x_j^\pm(w), \\
\psi_i(z)x_j^\pm(w)\psi_i(z)^{-1} &= g_{ij}(w/zq^{\mp\frac{1}{2}c})^{\mp 1}x_j^\pm(w), \\
[x_i^+(z), x_j^-(w)] &= \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q - q^{-1}} \left\{ \delta(z/wq^{-c})\psi_i(wq^{\frac{1}{2}c}) - \delta(z/wq^c)\varphi_i(zq^{\frac{1}{2}c}) \right\}, \\
(z - q^{\pm a_{ij}}w)x_i^\pm(z)x_j^\pm(w) &= (q^{\pm a_{ij}}z - w)x_j^\pm(w)x_i^\pm(z), \\
[x_i^\pm(z), x_j^\pm(w)] &= 0 \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = 0, \\
x_i^\pm(z_1)x_i^\pm(z_2)x_j^\pm(w) - (q + q^{-1})x_i^\pm(z_1)x_j^\pm(w)x_i^\pm(z_2) &+ x_j^\pm(w)x_i^\pm(z_1)x_i^\pm(z_2) \\
+ \{z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2\} &= 0, \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = -1
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z^k, \quad g_{ij}(z) = \frac{q^{a_{ij}}z - 1}{z - q^{a_{ij}}} \quad \text{about } z = 0$$

We define a grading on this algebra such that $x_i^\pm(n)$, $\varphi_i(n)$ and $\psi_i(n)$ are of degree n . We also always assume that q is generic.

Clearly, we have that $x^+(z)$ does not commute with itself. In order to do modify this operator, we have to rewrite the operators $\varphi_i(z)$ and $\psi_i(z)$ with new operators $a_{i,n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For the case of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(z) &= \exp[-(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{-k} z^k], \\
\psi(z) &= \exp[(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \leq 0} a_{-k} z^k].
\end{aligned}$$

The new operators are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp[-(q - q^{-1})a_0] &= \varphi(0), \\
-(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{-k} z^k &= \log(1 + (\varphi(z)\varphi(0)^{-1} - 1)) = \\
&\quad \sum_{n > 0} (\varphi(z)\varphi(0)^{-1} - 1)^n / n, \\
(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \leq 0} a_k z^{-k} &= \log(1 + (\psi(z)\psi(0)^{-1} - 1)) = \\
&\quad \sum_{n > 0} (\psi(z)\psi(0)^{-1} - 1)^n / n.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.

$$\begin{aligned}[a_k, a_l] &= \delta_{k+l,0}(q^{2k} - q^{-2k})(q^c - q^{-c})/(k(q - q^{-1})^2), \\ [a_k, x^\pm(l)] &= (q^{2k} - q^{-2k})q^{\mp|c|/2}x^\pm(k+l)/(k(q - q^{-1})).\end{aligned}$$

Let $k^-(z)$ be an current operator in $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{I}) \quad k^-(z) &= 1 + \sum_{n>0} k^-(n)z^{-n}, \\ (\text{II}) \quad k^-(z)x^+(w) &= \frac{z - wq^2}{z - w}x^+(w)k^-(z),\end{aligned}$$

where $k^-(n)$ are operators of degree n , $k^-(n)k^-(m) = k^-(m)k^-(n)$ and $\frac{z-wq^2}{z-w}$ is expanded in w/z .

Let $\bar{x}^+(w) = x^+(w)k^-(w)$, then we have

Proposition 3.

$$(z - w)\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^+(w) = (z - w)\bar{x}^+(w)\bar{x}^+(z).$$

The proof comes from the following calculation.

$$\begin{aligned}(z-w)\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^+(w) &= x^+(z)k^-(z)x^+(w)k^-(w) = (z-w)\frac{z-wq^2}{z-w}x^+(z)x^+(w)k^-(z)k^-(w) = \\ (zq^2 - w)x^+(w)x^+(z)k^-(w)k^-(z) &= x^+(w)k^-(w)x^+(z)k^-(z)(zq^2 - w)\left(\frac{w - zq^2}{w - z}\right)^{-1} = \\ &= (z - w)\bar{x}^+(w)\bar{x}^+(z)\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.

$$\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^+(w) = \bar{x}^+(w)\bar{x}^+(z).$$

Proof Let V_k be an integrable module of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ and V_k^* be its dual. Let $v \in V_k$ and $v^* \in V_k^*$. From the commutation relation between $x^+(z)$ and $x^+(w)$, we have that as a analytic function, the matrix coefficient $\langle v^*, x^+(z)x^+(w)v \rangle$ is zero when $z = w$, thus the correlation function $\langle v^*, x^+(z)x^+(w)v \rangle$ always has a factor $z - w$. This implies that the correlation function of $\langle v^*, \bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^+(w)v \rangle$ does not have a pole at the point $z = w$, thus

$$\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^+(w) = \bar{x}^+(w)\bar{x}^+(z)$$

follows from

$$(z - w)\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^+(w) = (z - w)\bar{x}^+(w)\bar{x}^+(z).$$

Proposition 5. *Let*

$$k^-(z) = \exp[(q - q^{-1})\sum_{n>0} -q^{2(n+c/2)}/(1 + q^{2n})a_n z^{-n}].$$

Then $k^-(z)$ satisfies (I) and (II).

From now on, we will denote $x^+(z) \exp[(q - q^{-1})\Sigma_{n>0} - q^{2(n+c/2)/(1+q^{2n})}a_n z^{-n}]$ by $\bar{x}(z)$ through out this paper.

Let $k^+(z)$ be an current operator in $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{I}') k^+(z) &= 1 + \Sigma_{n<0} k^+(n) z^{-n}, \\ (\text{II}') k^+(z) x^+(w) &= \frac{z-w}{zq^2-w} x^+(w) k^+(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $k^+(n)$ are operators of degree n , $k^+(n)k^+(m) = k^+(m)k^+(n)$ and $\frac{z-w}{zq^2-w}$ is expanded in z/w . Let $\tilde{x}^+(w) = k^+(w)\tilde{x}^+(w)$, then we have

Proposition 6.

$$(z-w)\tilde{x}^+(z)\tilde{x}^+(w) = (z-w)\tilde{x}^+(w)\tilde{x}^+(z).$$

The proof comes from the following calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} (z-w)\tilde{x}^+(z)\tilde{x}^+(w) &= k^+(z)x^+(z)k^+(w)x^+(w) = (z-w)\frac{z-wq^2}{z-w}k^+(z)k^+(w)x^+(z)x^+(w) = \\ &= (zq^2-w)k^+(z)k^+(w)x^+(w)x^+(z) = k^+(w)x^+(w)k^+(z)x^+(z)(zq^2-w)\left(\frac{z-w}{zq^2-w}\right) = \\ &= (z-w)\tilde{x}^+(w)\tilde{x}^+(z) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.

$$\tilde{x}^+(z)\tilde{x}^+(w) = \tilde{x}^+(w)\tilde{x}^+(z).$$

The proof is the same as that if the theorem above.

Proposition 8. *Let*

$$k^+(z) = \exp[-(q - q^{-1})\Sigma_{n<0} - q^{2(n+c/2)/(1+q^{2n})}a_n z^{-n}].$$

Then $k^+(z)$ satisfies the condition (I') and (II').

From now on, we will denote the operator $\exp[-(q - q^{-1})\Sigma_{n<0} - q^{2(n+c/2)/(1+q^{2n})}a_n w^{-n}]x^+(w)$ by $\tilde{x}^+(w)$.

For the case of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(z) &= \exp[-(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{i,-k} z^k], \\ \psi_i(z) &= \exp[(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \leq 0} a_{i,-k} z^k]. \end{aligned}$$

The new operators are defined as:

$$\exp[-(q - q^{-1})a_{i,0}] = \varphi_i(0),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{i,-k} z^k &= \log(1 + (\varphi_i(z) \varphi_i(0)^{-1} - 1)) = \\
&\quad \Sigma_{n > 0} (\varphi_i(z) \varphi_i(0)^{-1} - 1)^n / n, \\
(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \leq 0} a_{i,k} z^{-k} &= \log(1 + (\psi_i(z) \psi_i(0)^{-1} - 1)) = \\
&\quad \Sigma_{n > 0} (\psi_i(z) \psi_i(0)^{-1} - 1)^n / n.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$k_i^+(z) = \exp[-(q - q^{-1}) \Sigma_{n < 0} - q^{2(n+c/2)/(1+q^{2n})} a_{i,n} z^{-n}],$$

and

$$k_i^-(z) = \exp[(q - q^{-1}) \Sigma_{n > 0} - q^{2(n+c/2)/(1+q^{2n})} a_{i,n} z^{-n}].$$

Let

$$\bar{x}^+(z) = x^+(z) k_i^-(z),$$

and

$$\tilde{x}^+(z) = k_i^+(z) x^+(z).$$

Theorem 9.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}_i^+(z) \bar{x}_i^+(w) &= \bar{x}_i^+(w) \bar{x}_i^+(z), \\
\tilde{x}_i^+(z) \tilde{x}_i^+(w) &= \tilde{x}_i^+(w) \tilde{x}_i^+(z).
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the set of current operators $\varphi_i(z)$, $\psi_i(z)$, $\tilde{x}_i^+(z)$ and $x^-(z)$ and the set of the current operators $\varphi_i(z)$, $\psi_i(z)$, $\bar{x}_i^+(z)$ and $x^-(z)$ generate the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$ respectively. The reformulation of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$ with current operators $\varphi_i(z)$, $\psi_i(z)$, $\bar{x}_i^+(z)$ and $x^-(z)$ is the key for the quantized semi-infinite construction in the next section, namely we need to use the kernel coming from the current operator $\bar{x}_i^+(z)$ to define the semi-infinite space. From now on, we will restrict ourselves to the case of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$. The case for $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$ can be dealt with in a similar way [FS].

For the case of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$, the relations between $\psi(z)$, $\bar{x}^+(z)$ is the same as that of $\psi_i(z)$, $x^+(z)$, however the rest are changed, which we will write then down below.

Proposition 10.

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(z) \bar{x}^+(w) \varphi(z)^{-1} &= f_1(z/w) g(z/w q^{-\frac{1}{2}c})^{+1} \bar{x}^+(w), \\
(f_2(w/z) \bar{x}^+(z) x^-(w) - x^-(w) \bar{x}^+(z) &= \\
\frac{\delta_{i,j}}{q - q^{-1}} \left\{ \delta(z/w q^{-c}) \psi(w q^{\frac{1}{2}c}) k^-(z) - \delta(z/w q^c) \varphi(z q^{\frac{1}{2}c}) k^-(z) \right\}, \\
\bar{x}^+(z) \bar{x}^+(w) &= \bar{x}^+(w) \bar{x}^+(z),
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_1(z/w) = \left(\frac{(1-z/w q^2 q^{c/2})(1-z/w q^{c/2})}{(1-z/w q^2 q^{3c/2})(1-z/w q^{3c/2})} \right)^{-1}$ and $f_2(w/z) = (q^2 q^c w/z - 1)(w/z q^c - 1)$.

Similarly, one can write down the relations between $\varphi(z)$, $\psi(z)$, $\tilde{x}^+(z)$ and $x^-(z)$, which we will omit here. In the next section, we will $\tilde{x}^+(z)$ and instead of $x^+(z)$ as the the current operator for our semi-infinite construction of representations of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$.

3. QUANTUM INTEGRABILITY CONDITION AND SEMI-INFINITE CONSTRUCTION

The integrability condition of the current operator $e(z)$ induces the semi-infinite construction for the unquantized case. The quantum integrability condition was studied in [DM], which is stated as the following:

Theorem 11. *For any level $k \geq 1$ integrable module of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$, the correlation functions of $x^+(z_1)x^+(z_2)\dots x^+(z_k)x^+(z_{k+1})$ is zero if $z_2/z_1 = z_3/z_2 = \dots = z_{k+1}/z_k = q^2$, the correlation functions of $x^-(z_1)x^-(z_2)\dots x^-(z_k)x^-(z_{k+1})$ is zero if $z_1/z_2 = z_2/z_3 = \dots = z_k/z_{k+1} = q^2$*

However this condition can not be directly used for the semi-infinite construction, because the noncommutativity of the current operator x^+z of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$. However the theorem above implies:

Corollary 12. *For any level $k \geq 1$ integrable module of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$,*

$$\bar{x}^+(z_1)\bar{x}^+(z_2)\dots\bar{x}^+(z_k)\bar{x}^+(z_{k+1}) = 0$$

if $z_2/z_1 = z_3/z_2 = \dots = z_{k+1}/z_k = q^2$, and

Proof. Let $\bar{F}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be the correlation function of a vector v in any level $k \geq 1$ integrable module of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ and v^* in the dual space of this level K module, $\langle v^*, \bar{x}^+(z_1)\bar{x}^+(z_2)\dots\bar{x}^+(z_k)\bar{x}^+(z_{k+1})v \rangle$. Then we have that

$$\langle v^*, \bar{x}^+(z_1)\bar{x}^+(z_2)\dots\bar{x}^+(z_k)\bar{x}^+(z_{k+1})v \rangle =$$

$$\langle v^*, \prod_{i,j} \frac{(z_i - z_j q^2)}{z_i - z_j} x^+(z_1)x^+(z_2)\dots x^+(z_k)x^+(z_{k+1})k^+(z_1)k^+(z_2)\dots k^+(z_k)k^+(z_{k+1})v \rangle.$$

Because $\langle v^*, x^+(z_1)x^+(z_2)\dots x^+(z_k)x^+(z_{k+1})v_1 \rangle$ for for a vector v_1 in any level $k \geq 1$ integrable module of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ and the function $\prod_{i,j} \frac{(z_i - z_j q^2)}{z_i - z_j}$ is not zero if $z_2/z_1 = z_3/z_2 = \dots = z_{k+1}/z_k = q^2$, we have

$$\bar{F}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 0.$$

Because of the commutativity of the operator $\bar{x}^+(z)$, we have $\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^+(z)$ is a well-defined operator, thus

$$\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^+(z) = 0.$$

With the preparation above, in this section, we will describe a quantized semi-infinite construction along the line of [FS]. Their starting point for the case of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$

is the integrability condition for level k integrable modules, namely on any level K module from the category of representations with highest weight is a sum of irreducible integrable representations, if and only if $e(z)^{k+1}$ is zero.

similarly we can make the following claim:

Theorem 13. *Any level K module of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ from the category of representations with highest weight is a sum of irreducible integrable representations, if and only if $\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^{(zq^2)}\dots x(zq^{2k})$ is zero.*

Proof The theorem above already gives the proof for half of the theorem. The other half comes from the fact that if we quotient the relation $q = 1$, the condition $\bar{x}^+(z)\bar{x}^{(zq^2)}\dots x(zq^{2k})$ is zero simply degenerates into the condition that $e(z)^{k+1}$ is zero. Thus, it is integrable as a module of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$. From the theory of Lusztig, we know that all the integrable highest weight module must come from the corresponding quantized module. Thus the module is also an integrable module when q is generic.

We will start our semi-infinite construction with the irreducible integrable module $V_{0,1}$ with the highest weight vector $v_{0,1}$, such that the weight of the highest weight vector is 0 and the central element c acts as 1.

Let $\bar{x}^+(z) = \sum \bar{x}_i^+ z^{-i}$ and $U(\bar{x})$ be the subalgebra generated by $\bar{x}_i^+$. We denote $U(\bar{x})^-$ the subalgebra generated by $\bar{x}^+(n), n \geq 0$ and $U(\bar{x})^+$ the subalgebra generated by $\bar{x}^+(n), n < 0$. Let $W = U(\bar{x})v_{0,1}$, because $U(\bar{x})^+v_{0,1} = 0$, we have that W is equivalent to $U(\bar{x})^+/I)v_{0,1}$, where I is an ideal.

Lemma 14. *The ideal I is generated by $S_k^1 = \sum \bar{x}_i x_{k-i}^-(q^{2i} + q^{2k-2i})$, for $k < -1$.*

Proof. From the quantum integrability condition above, we know that the elements S_k^1 for $k < -1$ are inside the ideal I . We will denote the ideal generated by those elements by I' . The proof follows from the fact that $U(\bar{x})^+/I)v_{0,1}$ has the same character as the case, when quotient the relation $q - 1 = 0$. Thus $I = I'$.

Definition 15. $\bar{V}_{0,1}$ is a vector space with the basis of infinite monomials M in the form of $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\dots x_{i_n}\dots$, where $\{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$ is an infinite sequence of indices such that, for some n , i_n is odd and $i_{p+1} = i_p + 2$, if $p > n$. Let V be a quotient space of $\bar{V}$, the quotient is given by the following relations:

- (1) $\bar{x}_i$ and $\bar{x}_j$ commutes, if $i \neq j$,
- (2) if an element $m \in \bar{V}$ contains a part $x_u x_{2N+1} x_{2N+3} x_{2N+5} \dots$ and $u > 2N - 1$, then $m = 0$.
- (3) The operator $S_k = \sum_{a+b=k} x_a x_b (q^{2b} + q^{2a})$ acts on $\bar{V}$ and $S_k v = 0$ for $v \in \bar{V}$.

We define the action of $\bar{x}_i$ simply by multiplication. The action of a_i for $i > 0$ is given by

$$a_i \bar{x}_{i_1} \bar{x}_{i_2} \dots = [a_i, \bar{x}_{i_1}] \bar{x}_{i_2} \dots + \bar{x}_{i_1} [a_i, \bar{x}_{i_2}] \bar{x}_{i_3} \dots +$$

$$\dots + \bar{x}_{i_1} \bar{x}_{i_2} \dots [a_i, \bar{x}_{i_n}] \bar{x}_{i_{n+1}} \dots$$

This is an finite expression. We define the action of a_0 by that:

$$a_0(\bar{x}_{2N+1} \bar{x}_{2N_3} \bar{x}_{2N+5} \dots) = -2N \bar{x}_{2N+1} \bar{x}_{2N_3} \bar{x}_{2N+5} \dots$$

The action of a_{-1} is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{-1} \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots &= \\ (\bar{x}_0 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots + \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_5 \dots + \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_4 \bar{x}_7 \bar{x}_9 \dots + \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \bar{x}_6 \bar{x}_9 \dots + \dots) &= \\ (\bar{x}_0 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots - \frac{(q^6 + 1)}{(q^4 + q^2)} \bar{x}_0 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots + \frac{(q^6 + 1)}{(q^4 + q^2)} \frac{(q^{10} + q^4)}{(q^6 + q^8)} \bar{x}_0 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots &= \\ (\bar{x}_0 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots) 1 / (1 + \frac{(q^6 + 1)}{(q^4 + q^2)}) &. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it converges if $|\frac{(q^6 + 1)}{(q^4 + q^2)}| < 1$.

We would like to define the action of a_{-2} as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{-2} \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots &= \\ (q^2 - q^{-2})(\bar{x}_{-1} \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots + \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_5 \dots + \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_7 \bar{x}_9 \dots + \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \bar{x}_5 \bar{x}_9 \dots + \dots) &= \\ (q^2 - q^{-2})(\bar{x}_{-1} \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots - (\frac{(q^4 + 1)}{(2q)} \bar{x}_0 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_5 \dots + \frac{(q^6 + q^{-2})}{(2q)} \bar{x}_{-1} \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 \dots) - & \\ (\frac{(q^{10} + q^2)}{(q^6 + q^6)} \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_5 \bar{x}_7 \dots + \frac{(q^8 + q^4)}{(q^6 + q^6)} \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_4 \bar{x}_7 \dots & \end{aligned}$$

To use the relation (1) (2) (3) to reduce this expression to prove the convergence of the expression is very complicated. Similar problems appears for the defining the action of $a_{-n}, n < -2$.

Thus we will use the same trick played in [FS]. Let $U(\bar{x}, r)$ be the subalgebra generated by $\bar{x}_i$. Let $\bar{V}_{0,1}(r)$ be the subspace $\bar{V}_{0,1}$, which consists of the element $\bar{x}_{i_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n} \dots$ and $i_j > r$.

Lemma 16. $\bar{V}_{0,1}(r)$ spans the whole space $\bar{V}_{0,1}$.

Proof The proof is the same as lemma 2.5.1 in [FS]. The way to prove it is to use the relation (3) to express any element in $\bar{V}_{0,1}$ with linear expression of elements in $\bar{V}_{0,1}$.

For any element expressed in a linear combination of elements in $\bar{V}_{0,1}(r)$, we define the action of $x^-(k)$, for $k + r > 0$, as that of a_{-1} by using the commutation relations between $\bar{x}^+(z)$ and $\bar{x}^-(z)$. Because $k + r > 0$, we know that it is well defined. As in [FS], this is a well defined action, namely if we express an element in two different ways in $\bar{V}_{0,1}(r)$, the actions of $x^-(k)$ defined above coincide. Again, with the commutation relation between $\bar{x}^+(z)$ and $\bar{x}^-(z)$, we can define the action of $a_n, n < -1$, because $\bar{x}^+(z)$ and $\bar{x}^-(z)$ generate the whole algebra. Thus we have

Theorem 17. *There exists an action of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ on the space $\bar{V}_{0,1}$, such that $\bar{V}_{0,1}$ is equivalent to $V_{0,1}$ as a representation of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ and the action of $\bar{x}_i$ acts by multiplication.*

Let $\bar{W}$ be the set of the elements $\bar{x}_{i_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}$ in $\bar{V}_{0,1}$, such that $i_{j+1} - i_j > 1$.

Proposition 18. *$\bar{W}$ forms an linear independent basis of the space $\bar{V}_{0,1}$.*

The proof is the same as in [FS], which gives the character of the representation.

Similarly as in [FS], a functional model for the description of W^* , the dual space of W , can be derived from the lemma above.

As a commutative algebra, $U(\bar{x})$ can be identified with the space $C[t, t^{-1}]$. Let $U(\bar{x})^+ = \Sigma \oplus U(\bar{x})^+(n)$, where $U(\bar{x})^+(n)$ consists of the elements $\bar{x}_{i_1}^+ \bar{x}_{i_2}^+ \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^+$. We identify any element $\bar{x}_{i_1}^+ \bar{x}_{i_2}^+ \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^+$ in $U(\bar{x})^+(n)$ as $t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n}$, where t_i are variables. Similarly we can any element $\bar{x}_{i_1}^+ \bar{x}_{i_2}^+ \dots \bar{x}_{i_m}^+$ in W as $t_1^{i_1} \dots t_m^{i_m}$. Let $S^n(\Omega^1 \mathbb{C})$ be the space of expressions $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n$, such that $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a symmetric functions and different dt_i commute. $S^n(\Omega^1 \mathbb{C})$ is also called the space of n particles. We can pair $S^n(\Omega^1 \mathbb{C})$ with $U(\bar{x})^+(n)$ by the following:

$$\langle f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n, t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n} \rangle = \text{RES}_{t_1=\dots=t_n=0} (\langle f(t_1, \dots, t_n) t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Thus $W^* = \Sigma \oplus W^* \cap S^n(\Omega^1 \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 19.

$$W^* \cap S^n(\Omega^1 \mathbb{C}) = \{f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n : f = 0, \text{ if } t_1 = t_2 q^2\}.$$

Similarly we can present the semi-infinite constructions for the higher level cases.

Let $V_{l,k}$ be the irreducible highest weight representation of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ with the action of c as k and the highest weight is l times the fundamental weight and $v_{0,1}$ be its highest weight vector. Let $W_{l,k} = U(\bar{x})v_{l,k}$, because $U(\bar{x})^+v_{l,k} = 0$, we have that $W_{l,k}$ is equivalent to $U(\bar{x})^+/I_{l,k}v_{l,k}$, where $I_{l,k}$ is an ideal.

Lemma 20. *The ideal $I_{l,k}$ is generated by*

$$S_i^{k+1} = \Sigma_{\Sigma a_i = -i} x_{a_1} x_{a_2} \dots x_{a_{k+1}} (\Sigma_{\sigma \in S_{k+1}} (q^{\Sigma_{i=2, K+1} 2i-1 a_{\sigma i}}),$$

$i < -k$ and $\bar{x}_{-1}^{k-l+1}$.

Definition 21. Let $\bar{V}_{l,k}$ be a space spanned by the elements of the expressions in the form of $\bar{x}_{i_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n} \bar{x}_{2N}^l \bar{x}_{2N+1}^{k-l} \bar{x}_{2N+2}^l \bar{x}_{2N+3}^{k-l} \dots$, such that

- (1) $\bar{x}_i$ commutes with $\bar{x}_j$,
- (2) if an element $m \in \bar{V}$ contains a part $\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_{2N}^l \bar{x}_{2N+1}^{k-l} \bar{x}_{2N+2}^l \bar{x}_{2N+3}^{k-l} \dots$, $i > 2N - 1$ or $\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_{2N+1}^{k-l} \bar{x}_{2N+2}^l \bar{x}_{2N+3}^{k-l} \dots$, $i > 2N$, then $m = 0$.
- (3) The operator $S_k = \Sigma_{\Sigma a_i = n} x_{a_1} x_{a_2} \dots x_{a_{k+1}} (\Sigma_{\sigma \in S_{k+1}} (q^{\Sigma_{i=2, K+1} 2i-1 a_{\sigma i}})$ acts on $\bar{V}$ and $S_k v = 0$ for $v \in \bar{V}$, where S_{k+1} is the permutation group on $k + 1$ numbers.

Theorem 22. *On the space $\bar{V}_{l,k}$, there is an action of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$, such that the action of $\bar{x}^+(n)$ is given by comultiplication. This representation is the irreducible highest weight representation of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$ with the action of c as k and the highest weight is l times the fundamental weight.*

Let $\bar{W}(l, k)$ be the set of the elements $\bar{x}_{i_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n} \dots$ in $\bar{V}_{l,k}$, such that $i_{j+k} - i_j > 1$.

Proposition 23. *$\bar{W}(l, k)$ forms an linear independent basis of the space $\bar{V}_{l,k}$.*

As in the case of $V_{0,1}$, let $U(\bar{x})^+ = \Sigma \oplus U(\bar{x})^+(n)$, where $U(\bar{x})^+(n)$ consists of the elements $\bar{x}_{i_1}^+ \bar{x}_{i_2}^+ \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^+$. We identify any element $\bar{x}_{i_1}^+ \bar{x}_{i_2}^+ \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^+$ in $U(\bar{x})^+(n)$ as $t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n}$, where t_i are variables. Similarly we can any element $\bar{x}_{i_1}^+ \bar{x}_{i_2}^+ \dots \bar{x}_{i_m}^+$ in $W_{l,k}$ as $t_1^{i_1} \dots t_m^{i_m}$. Let $S^n(\Omega^1\mathbb{C})$ be the space of expressions $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n$, such that $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a symmetric functions and different dt_i commute. $S^n(\Omega^1\mathbb{C})$ is also called the space of n particles. We can pair $S^n(\Omega^1\mathbb{C})$ with $U(\bar{x})^+(n)$ by the following:

$$\langle f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n, t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n} \rangle = \text{RES}_{t_1=\dots=t_n=0} (\langle f(t_1, \dots, t_n) t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Thus $W^* = \Sigma \oplus W^* \cap S^n(\Omega^1\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 24.

$$W^* \cap S^n(\Omega^1\mathbb{C}) = \{f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n : f = 0, \text{ if } t_1 = t_2 q^2 \dots = t_{k+1} q^{2(k)}, \\ , \text{ or } t_1 = \dots t_{k-l+1} = 0\}.$$

In Section 2, we define the operator $\bar{x}_i^+(z)$, it is clear that this can be also applied to other cases. Our next step is to extend the semi-infinite to the cases of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, where g is a simple-laced simple Lie algebra, for which we need to define the proper $x_\alpha^+(z)$ associated to the roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. The simplest case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$ will be the subject of a subsequent paper. On the other hand, this paper follows the algebraic theory developed in [FS] [FS]. The semi-infinite constructions can be geometrically understood according to the structure of the corresponding infinite dimensional flag manifold and the infinite Shubert cells. The geometric interpretation of the quantized semi-infinite construction is still an open problem. This is related to another immediate problem to extend the explicit construction of modular functor [FS] to the quantized case. which should leads us toward certain quantization of conformal field theory. One more possible application of such a construction is to generaliza such a construction to more general cases. From the point view of the functional realization of the dual space, one natural generalization is to substitute the condition $x_1 = x_2 q^2$, which is generalization of the classical condition $x_1 = x_2$, with more general conditions, for example $x_1 = x_2 q_1 = x_3 q_3$. One would like ask a questions that what kind of structures those generalized spaces possibly represent? We believe it is related with the recent work about generalization of the quantum affine algebras [DI], where those kind of new conditions should be satisfied for the quantum current operators. We hope our

construction can help us to understand the structures of those new algebras in [DI], for which we have not been able to give any concrete realization of the non-trivial integrable representations yet.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank T. Miwa for discussions. J.D. is supported by the grant Reward research (A) 08740020 from the Ministry of Education of Japan.

REFERENCES

- [DM] J. Ding and T. Miwa Zeros and poles of quantum current operators and the condition of quantum integrability, q-alg/9608001, RIMS-1092
- [DI] J. Ding and K. Iohara Generalization and deformation of the quantum affine algebras, Rims-1090, q-alg/9608002
- [Dr1] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebra and the quantum Yang-Baxter Equation*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, **283**, 1985, 1060-1064
- [Dr2] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum Groups*, ICM Proceedings, New York, Berkeley, 1986, 798-820
- [Dr3] V. G. Drinfeld, *New realization of Yangian and quantum affine algebra*, Soviet Math. Doklady, **36**, 1988, 212-216
- [FS] B. L. Feigin and A. V. Stoyanovsky, *Quasi-particle models for the representations of Lie algebras and the geometry of the flag manifold*, RIMS-942
- [FS] B. L. Feigin and A. V. Stoyanovsky, *Functional models for the representations of current algebras and the semi-infinite Schubert cells*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **28**, No. 1, 1994, 68-90
- [FS] B. L. Feigin and A. V. Stoyanovsky, *A realization of the modular functors in the space of the differentials and the geometric approximation of the moduli space of G -bundles*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **28**, No. 4, 1994, 42-65
- [LP] J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, *Structure of standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , Contemp. Math. **45**, AMS, Providence, 1985
- [L] . Lusztig Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras, Adv. Math. **70**, 1988, 237-249

JINTAI DING, RIMS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY